

Square Root of “Not”: A Major Difference Between Fuzzy and Quantum Logics

Vladik Kreinovich, Ladislav J. Kohout, and Eunjin Kim

Abstract—Many authors have emphasized the similarity between quantum logic and fuzzy logic. In this paper, we show that, in spite of this similarity, these logics are not identical. Specifically, we show that while quantum logic has a special “square root of not” operation which is very useful in quantum computing, fuzzy logic lacks such an operation.

I. SIMILARITY BETWEEN QUANTUM LOGIC AND FUZZY LOGIC

Both quantum logic and fuzzy logic describe uncertainty:

- quantum logic describes uncertainties of the real world, while
- fuzzy logic described the uncertainty of our reasoning.

Due to this common origin, there is a lot of similarity between the two logics, similarities which have been emphasized in several papers on fuzzy logic.

II. WHAT WE PLAN TO DO

We plan to emphasize that, in spite of the similarity, quantum logic and fuzzy logic are not mathematically identical.

Specifically, in this paper, we show the difference on the example of one of the major features of quantum logic, a features that underlies most successful quantum computing algorithms: that in quantum logic, there is a “square root of not” operation.

III. WHAT IS A SQUARE ROOT OF NOT?

In precise terms, the fact that an operation $s(x)$ is a square root of “not” means that if we apply this operation twice to a truth value a , we get $\neg a$ (“not a ”):

$$s(s(a)) = \neg a$$

for all a .

IV. NEGATION (“NOT”) IN CLASSICAL (2-VALUED) LOGIC

In the traditional (2-valued) logic, we have two possible truth values – “true” and “false”. In the computer, “true” is usually represented as 1, and “false” as 0.

In these terms, the negation operation has a very simple form: $\neg(0) = 1$ and $\neg(1) = 0$.

Vladik Kreinovich is with the Department of Computer Science, University of Texas at El Paso, El Paso, TX 79968 (email vladik@utep.edu). Ladislav J. Kohout is with the Department of Computer Science, Florida State University, Tallahassee, Florida 32306 (email kohout@cs.fsu.edu). Eunjin Kim is with the Department of Computer Science, University of North Dakota, Grand Forks, North Dakota 58202-9015 (email ejkim@aero.und.edu).

This work was supported in part by NSF grants HRD-0734825, EAR-0225670, and EIA-0080940, by Texas Department of Transportation contract No. 0-5453, by the Japan Advanced Institute of Science and Technology (JAIST) International Joint Research Grant 2006-08, and by the Max Planck Institut für Mathematik.

V. THERE IS NO SQUARE ROOT OF NOT IN CLASSICAL LOGIC

In classical logic, a unary operation $s(a)$ can be described by listing its values $s(0)$ and $s(1)$. There are two possible values of $s(0)$ and two possible values of $s(1)$, so overall, we have $2 \times 2 = 4$ possible unary operations:

- when $s(0) = s(1) = 0$, then we get a constant function whose value is “false”;
- when $s(0) = s(1) = 1$, then we get a constant function whose value is “true”;
- when $s(0) = 0$ and $s(1) = 1$, we get the identity function;
- finally, when $s(0) = 1$ and $s(1) = 0$, we get the negation.

In all four cases, the composition $s(s(a))$ is different from the negation:

- for the “constant false” function s , we have $s(s(a)) = s(a)$, i.e., the composition of s and s is also a constant false function;
- for the “constant true” function s , also $s(s(a)) = s(a)$, i.e., the composition of s and s is also a constant true function;;
- for the identity function s , we have $s(s(a)) = s(a)$, i.e., the composition of s and s is also the identity function;
- finally, for the negation s , the composition of s and s is the identity function.

VI. QUANTUM MECHANICS

Since early 20th century, physicists have found out that our physical world is better described not by the classical Newtonian physics, but by the laws of quantum mechanics. The smaller the particles, the larger the deviation between the classical and quantum descriptions. So, for macro-size bodies, Newtonian mechanics provides a very accurate description. However, for molecules and atoms, it is important to take into account quantum effects.

One of the main features of quantum mechanics is the possibility of *superpositions*. Namely, each classical state s is also a quantum state – denoted by $|s\rangle$. However, in addition to this, for every n states s_1, \dots, s_n , and for every n complex numbers c_1, \dots, c_n for which

$$|c_1|^2 + \dots + |c_n|^2 = 1,$$

the following state is also possible:

$$c_1 \cdot |s_1\rangle + \dots + c_n \cdot |s_n\rangle.$$

If, in this state, we try to measure whether we are in the state s_1 , or in the state s_2 , etc., then:

- we will get the state s_1 with the probability $|c_1|^2$;
- ...
- we will get the state s_n with the probability $|c_n|^2$.

The above requirement $|c_1|^2 + \dots + |c_n|^2 = 1$ simply comes from the fact that the probabilities should add up to 1.

It is worth mentioning that if we multiply all the values c_i by the same constant $e^{i\alpha}$ (with real α) whose absolute value is 1, we get the same probabilities of all the states. In quantum mechanics, mathematically different states s and $e^{i\alpha}s$ are therefore considered to be corresponding to the same physical state.

VII. QUANTUM LOGIC

Quantum Logic is an application of the general idea of quantum mechanics to logic. In the classical logic, there are two possible states: 0 and 1. In quantum logic, in addition to these states $|0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle$, we can have arbitrary superpositions

$$c_0 \cdot |0\rangle + c_1 \cdot |1\rangle$$

for complex values c_0 and c_1 for which $|c_0|^2 + |c_1|^2 = 1$.

These superpositions are the “truth values” of quantum logic.

VIII. NEGATION IN QUANTUM LOGIC

For “pure” (classical) states $|0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle$, negation can be defined in a standard way:

$$\neg(|0\rangle) = |1\rangle$$

and

$$\neg(|1\rangle) = |0\rangle.$$

In general, in quantum mechanics, all operations are linear in terms of superpositions. By using this linearity, we can describe the negation of an arbitrary quantum state:

$$\neg(c_0 \cdot |0\rangle + c_1 \cdot |1\rangle) = c_0 \cdot |1\rangle + c_1 \cdot |0\rangle.$$

IX. SQUARE ROOT OF NOT

Let us show that in quantum mechanics, there exists an operation s whose square is, in some reasonable sense, equal to negation. Due to linearity, it is sufficient to define this operation for the basic states $|0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle$. We can define it as follows:

$$s(|0\rangle) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \cdot |0\rangle - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \cdot |1\rangle;$$

$$s(|1\rangle) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \cdot |0\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \cdot |1\rangle.$$

Let us show that the composition of this operation with itself indeed leads to negation, i.e., that $s(s(a)) = \neg(a)$ for all a .

Due to linearity, it is sufficient to prove this fact for the basic states $|0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle$.

For $|1\rangle$, we get

$$s(s(|1\rangle)) = s\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \cdot |0\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \cdot |1\rangle\right).$$

Due to linearity, this means that

$$s(s(|1\rangle)) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \cdot s(|0\rangle) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \cdot s(|1\rangle).$$

Substituting the above expressions for $s(|0\rangle)$ and $s(|1\rangle)$ into this formula, we conclude that

$$s(s(|1\rangle)) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \cdot |0\rangle - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \cdot |1\rangle\right) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \cdot |0\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \cdot |1\rangle\right).$$

Thus, we conclude that

$$s(s(|1\rangle)) = \left(\frac{1}{2} \cdot |0\rangle - \frac{1}{2} \cdot |1\rangle\right) + \left(\frac{1}{2} \cdot |0\rangle + \frac{1}{2} \cdot |1\rangle\right) = |0\rangle$$

. So, for the “true” state $a = |1\rangle$, the value $s(s(a))$ is indeed equal to its negation “false”.

For $|0\rangle$, we get

$$s(s(|0\rangle)) = s\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \cdot |0\rangle - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \cdot |1\rangle\right).$$

Due to linearity, this means that

$$s(s(|0\rangle)) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \cdot s(|0\rangle) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \cdot s(|1\rangle).$$

Substituting the above expressions for $s(|0\rangle)$ and $s(|1\rangle)$ into this formula, we conclude that

$$s(s(|0\rangle)) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \cdot |0\rangle - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \cdot |1\rangle\right) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \cdot |0\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \cdot |1\rangle\right).$$

Thus, we conclude that

$$s(s(|0\rangle)) = \left(\frac{1}{2} \cdot |0\rangle - \frac{1}{2} \cdot |1\rangle\right) - \left(\frac{1}{2} \cdot |0\rangle + \frac{1}{2} \cdot |1\rangle\right) = -|1\rangle$$

. This is literally the same state as the negation $|1\rangle$ of the original “false” state $s = |0\rangle$, but, since states s and $-s$ represent the same physical state, from the physical viewpoint, this is exactly negation.

X. SQUARE ROOT OF NOT IS AN IMPORTANT PART OF QUANTUM ALGORITHMS

Square root of not is an important part of quantum algorithms.

For example, without using quantum effects, we need – in the worst case – at least N computational steps to search for a desired element in an unsorted list of size N . A quantum computing algorithm proposed by Grover (see, e.g., [1], [2], [6]) can find this element much faster – in $O(\sqrt{N})$ time.

An even more impressive speedup is achieved in factoring large integers – an important operation in trying to decode the existing RSA code. The traditional algorithms require time which grows exponentially with the length of the integer, while quantum algorithms enables us to perform this factorization in time which only grows polynomially with this size – and which is, thus, quite practically feasible [6], [7].

XI. FUZZY LOGIC

Let us now consider fuzzy logic; see, e.g., [4], [5]. In quantum logic, in addition to the classical values 0 and 1, we also allow intermediate truth values, i.e., arbitrary real numbers from the interval $[0, 1]$.

XII. NEGATION IN FUZZY LOGIC

Usually, in fuzzy logic, negation is defined as

$$\neg(a) = 1 - a.$$

In principle, there exist other negation operations, but it is known (see, e.g., [4], [5]) that they can be reduced to this standard negation by an appropriate re-scaling of the interval $[0, 1]$.

XIII. THERE IS NO CONTINUOUS SQUARE ROOT OF NOT IN FUZZY LOGIC: A STATEMENT

In fuzzy logic, usually, we only consider logical operations which are continuous functions of their inputs. This makes sense because the degree of uncertainty are only approximately known, and for operations to be meaningful, we need to require that similar values of the input degrees lead to similar values of the result of the logical operation.

Let us prove that, in contrast to quantum logic, in fuzzy logic, if we restrict ourselves to continuous operations

$$s : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1],$$

there is no square root of negation.

XIV. PROOF

We will prove the above statement by contradiction. Let us assume that there exists a continuous function

$$s : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$$

for which $s(s(a)) = 1 - a$ for all a .

If $a \neq b$, then $s(a) \neq s(b)$: indeed, otherwise, if we had $s(a) = s(b)$, then we would have $s(s(a)) = s(s(b))$, hence $a = b$, and we assumed that $a \neq b$. So, s is a 1-1 function. It is known that every 1-1 function should be strictly monotonic. Thus, the function $s(a)$ is either strictly increasing or strictly decreasing.

In the first case, if the function $s(a)$ is strictly increasing, then we have $a < b$ imply $s(a) < s(b)$ and thus, $s(s(a)) < s(s(b))$. Thus, the composition $s(s(a))$ is also strictly increasing – and thus cannot be equal to the strictly decreasing function $\neg(a) = 1 - a$.

In the second case, if the function $s(a)$ is strictly decreasing, then we have $a < b$ imply $s(a) > s(b)$ and thus, $s(s(a)) < s(s(b))$. Thus, the composition $s(s(a))$ is strictly increasing – and thus cannot be equal to the strictly decreasing function $\neg(a) = 1 - a$.

In both cases, we get a contradiction. This contradiction shows that in fuzzy logic, there is no (continuous) square root of not.

XV. COMMENT: DISCONTINUOUS SQUARE ROOTS OF “NOT” ARE POSSIBLE IN FUZZY LOGIC

Let us show that if we do not require continuity, then a square root of not is possible in fuzzy logic. Indeed, in this case, we can consider the following piece-wise linear function $s(x)$:

- when $0 \leq x < \frac{1}{4}$, we set

$$s(x) = x + \frac{1}{4};$$
- when $\frac{1}{4} \leq x < \frac{1}{2}$, we set

$$s(x) = \frac{5}{4} - x;$$
- when $x = \frac{1}{2}$, we set

$$s(x) = \frac{1}{2};$$
- when $\frac{1}{2} < x \leq \frac{3}{4}$, we set

$$s(x) = \frac{3}{4} - x;$$
- finally, when $\frac{3}{4} < x \leq 1$, we set

$$s(x) = x - \frac{1}{4}.$$

By considering all 5 cases, we can check that $s(s(x)) = x$ for all $x \in [0, 1]$. Indeed:

- When $0 \leq x < \frac{1}{4}$, then for $s(x) = x + \frac{1}{4}$, we get

$$\frac{1}{4} \leq s(x) < \frac{1}{2}.$$

Thus, in this case, $s(s(x)) = \frac{5}{4} - s(x)$. Since

$$s(x) = x + \frac{1}{4},$$

we get

$$s(s(x)) = \frac{5}{4} - s(x) = \frac{5}{4} - \left(x + \frac{1}{4}\right) =$$

$$\frac{5}{4} - x - \frac{1}{4} = 1 - x.$$

- When $\frac{1}{4} \leq x < \frac{1}{2}$, then for $s(x) = \frac{5}{4} - x$, we get

$$\frac{3}{4} < s(x) \leq 1.$$

Thus, in this case, $s(s(x)) = s(x) - \frac{1}{4}$. Since

$$s(x) = \frac{5}{4} - x,$$

we get

$$s(s(x)) = s(x) - \frac{1}{4} = \left(\frac{5}{4} - x\right) - \frac{1}{4} =$$

$$\frac{5}{4} - x - \frac{1}{4} = 1 - x.$$

- When $x = \frac{1}{2}$, then $s(x) = \frac{1}{2}$ and thus,

$$s(s(x)) = s\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = \frac{1}{2} = 1 - x.$$

- When $\frac{1}{2} < x \leq \frac{3}{4}$, then for $s(x) = \frac{3}{4} - x$, we get

$$0 \leq s(x) < \frac{1}{4}.$$

Thus, in this case, $s(s(x)) = s(x) + \frac{1}{4}$. Since

$$s(x) = \frac{3}{4} - x,$$

we get

$$s(s(x)) = s(x) + \frac{1}{4} = \left(\frac{3}{4} - x\right) + \frac{1}{4} = \frac{3}{4} - x + \frac{1}{4} = 1 - x.$$

- Finally, when $\frac{3}{4} < x \leq 1$, then for $s(x) = x - \frac{1}{4}$, we get

$$\frac{1}{2} < s(x) \leq \frac{3}{4}.$$

Thus, in this case, $s(s(x)) = \frac{3}{4} - s(x)$. Since

$$s(x) = x - \frac{1}{4},$$

we get

$$s(s(x)) = \frac{3}{4} - s(x) = \frac{3}{4} - \left(x - \frac{1}{4}\right) = \frac{3}{4} - x + \frac{1}{4} = 1 - x.$$

So, this discontinuous function is indeed a square root of negation.

REFERENCES

- [1] L. Grover, A fast quantum mechanical algorithm for database search, *Proc. 28th ACM Symp. on Theory of Computing*, 1996, 212–219.
- [2] L. K. Grover, Quantum mechanics helps in searching for a needle in a haystack, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 79(2):325–328, 1997.
- [3] L. Grover, A framework for fast quantum mechanical algorithms, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 80:4329–4332, 1998.
- [4] G. Klir and B. Yuan, *Fuzzy Sets and Fuzzy Logic: Theory and Applications*, Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle River, NJ, 1995.
- [5] H. T. Nguyen and E. A. Walker, *First Course in Fuzzy Logic*, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 2006.
- [6] M. A. Nielsen and I. L. Chuang, *Quantum computation and quantum information*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, U.K., 2000.
- [7] P. Shor, “Algorithms for quantum computation: Discrete logarithms and factoring”, *Proceedings of the 35th Annual IEEE Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science*, 1994, pp. 124–134.